REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

The present amendment is submitted in an earnest effort to advance the case to issue without delay.

Claim 6 was rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph. The term "cationic agent salt" was said to more properly read "cationic conditioning salt." Applicants have submitted this requested change via the independent claims.

Claim 6 was said to be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejections under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Applicants have combined claim 6 (amended to rectify the § 112 matter) into claim 1. Similar amendments have been submitted for independent claims 7 and 8. Several new claims have been added which merely further focus the water content as ranging in the preferred concentrations from about 20% to about 35% by weight of the cleansing product. Support is found in paragraph [00020] at page 7 (lines 22-24).

J6698(C) 01-D262-TR

In view of the foregoing amendment allowance proposal, applicants consider that the claims are now in sufficient condition for the case to pass to issue without delay.

Respectfully submitted,

Milton L. Honig

Registration No. 28,617 Attorney for Applicant(s)

MLH/sm (201) 840-2403